

PHILIP D. MURPHY
Governor

SHEILA Y. OLIVER
Lt. Governor

State of New Jersey
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
PO Box 500
TRENTON, NJ 08625-0500

ANGELICA ALLEN-MCMILLAN, Ed.D. *Acting Commissioner* 

October 25, 2021

Mrs. Susan Speirs, Superintendent Dennis Twp School District 601 Hagan Road Clermont, NJ 08210

RE: PRELIMINARY ELIGIBLE COST: (PEC) DETERMINATION OF A SCHOOL FACILITIES PROJECT FOR A DISTRICT NOT REQUIRED TO USE THE AUTHORITY TO UNDERTAKE THE PROJECT, PURSUANT TO N.J.S.A. 18A:7G-5 AND DETERMINATION OF PRELIMINARY ELIGIBLE COSTS PURSUANT TO N.J.S.A. 18A:7G-7.

THIS PROJECT <u>DOES NOT IMPACT</u> EDUCATIONAL ADEQUACY AND <u>IS NOT SUBJECT</u> TO DOE FINAL EDUCATIONAL ADEQUACY REVIEW.

State Project No. 1080-040-22-1000 Systems Rehabilitation Dennis Twp Primary School Dennis Twp School District CAPE MAY COUNTY

Dear Mrs. Speirs:

Your district has submitted an application for a school facilities project pursuant to Section 5 of the Educational Facilities Construction and Financing Act, P.L. 2000, c. 72 (EFCFA or Act) and the New Jersey Department of Education implementing regulations at N.J.A.C. 6A:26-1 et seq. (Regulations). This project application has been deemed complete by the New Jersey Department of Education Office of School Facilities (Department), has been reviewed under the Act and the Regulations, and, as a result, the Department now makes the following determinations regarding the above referenced project.

#### I. DEPARTMENT DETERMINATIONS:

Version: 031816 Page 1 of 8 A-1 PEC DS-ROD

- 1. This school facilities project qualifies as a school facilities project eligible for State support under the Act.
- 2. This school facilities project is consistent with the District's approved long-range facilities plan (LRFP) as approved or as amended as of February 26, 2020.
- 3. This school facilities project meets the educational adequacy requirements, if applicable.
- 4. This school facilities project, which is <u>not</u> subject to educational adequacy requirements, meets the schematic plans requirements, if applicable.
- 5. This school facilities project is consistent with the District's applicable approved programmatic model contained in the District's approved LRFP, if any, or with the facilities efficiency standard (FES) and the area allowances per FTE student, and does not exceed the model or the FES, as applicable, except for spaces for which the District is not seeking State support.
- 6. This school facilities project, if in whole or in part is inconsistent with the FES or the applicable approved programmatic model, has been granted a waiver for having demonstrated that the inconsistency will not adversely affect the educational adequacy of the school facility.
- 7. This school facilities project or a portion of this project, if it qualifies for State support as new construction, it is because it is needed for unhoused students or for another educational adequacy purpose.
- 8. This school facilities project or a portion of this project if it qualifies for State support as rehabilitation, it is because it is required to keep the school facilities functional for its original purposes or for new purposes but does not increase the gross square footage of the school facility and does not include any routine maintenance or required maintenance but may include capital maintenance.
- 9. This school facilities project is not ranked as to priority.
- 10. This school facilities project <u>may</u> be subject to the environmental assessment or environmental impact statement requirements of Executive Order 215.
- 11. If this school facilities project is a new school, it therefore must incorporate the guidelines developed by the United States Green Building Council known as "Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design (LEED), Version 2" or appropriate update.
- 12. This school facilities project has not been determined to be an Emergent Project by the Department in concert with the Executive County Superintendent.

#### II. DESCRIPTION OF THE APPROVED PROJECT:

Version: 031816 Page 2 of 8 A-1 PEC DS-ROD

1080-040-22-1000 PEC DS Att A Rehab@Dennis Twp-Prim Sch.doc October 25, 2021

The approved school facilities project consists of the following components (any ineligible scopes of work are noted and in bold with associated ineligible costs):

- Roof Replacement New Standing Metal Seam Roof System (Hugger Over Existing) with SBS Low Sloping Roof System
- Ceilings, Patching, Painting, etc.
- HVAC Classroom Casework Remove Existing Casework and Replace with New Units including Stainless Steel Drop Sinks
- HVAC-Chiller Replacement Remove Gas-Fired Unit New (2) Boiler(s) and Water-Cooled Chiller, Pumps, Valves, Electric (Dehumidification)
- HVAC-VAV Units (17) Modify Existing Reheats and Install New Bipolar Ionization and Merv (13) Filters
- HVAC-BAS Controls New DDC Control System
- HVAC-New Breakers, Connections and Panel Modifications

## **Rehabilitation:**

Total Eligible GSF: Total Ineligible GSF:	Rehabilitation:	50,000 0
Total GSF:		50,000

# III. PRELIMINARY ELIGIBLE COSTS (PEC) FOR THE APPROVED PROJECT:

The District's aid percentage as defined in section 3 of the Act, N.J.S.A. 18A:7G-3 is as noted in table below, and State share will driven by that percentage (calculated differently for Debt Service Aid than for Grant Projects), and State share will not be less than 40% at final eligible costs.

Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 18A:7G-7 the following is the PEC for the approved school facilities project:

#### **PEC Calculations:**

Version: 031816 Page 3 of 8 A-1 PEC DS-ROD

Preliminary Eligible Cost Calculations for SP#:		1080-040-22-1000 Denni		Twp Primary School			
					****Maximum		
					or Actual		
	Functional	Projected	Unhoused	Area Allowance	Gross Square	P	reliminary
	Capacity	Enrollment	Students	/ FTE Student	Footage	Eli	igible Cost
	(FC)	(PE)	(UH)	(AA)	(GSF)		(PEC)
*New Construction	0	0	0	0.00	Max: 0	\$	-
					Actual:	\$	-
Other Eligible Costs	for Education	nal Adequacy	y (Based on	\$143/SF)	0	\$	-
**Rehabilitation						\$	4,979,000.00
TOTAL PEC						\$	4,979,000.00
Ineligible Excess Costs (Based on \$143/SF) 0						\$	-
Ineligible Excess Costs (Based on estimated actual cost for rehabilitation)							_
TOTAL Ineligible Excess Costs						\$	-
Total Project Cost					\$	4,979,000.00	
*New construction costs are calculated using \$143.00 per square foot times the GSF.							
**The rehabilitation costs are compiled from the architect's line item cost estimate of the scope of work to be							
performed during the school facilities project.							
FY 21 DAP =	0.0000%			or 40% whichever is greater. 40.00009			
***State share for gran							
of state debt service aid pursuant to N.J.S.A. 18A:7G-9. State share is calculated based on the district aid percentage							
(DAP) at the time of approval as defined in N.J.S.A. 18A:7G-3.							
****The preliminary eligible costs for new construction will be calculated based on the maximum allowable							
additional gross square footage or the actual gross square footage, whichever is smaller. The final eligible costs may							
not equal preliminary eligible costs, pursuant to the district's right to appeal preliminary eligible costs under N.J.A.C. 6A:26-3.6. A change in final eligible costs will mean a change in State and local share of those costs.							

version 2021a

#### **PEC Table Notes:**

- a. New construction cost is calculated based on \$143.00 per square foot times the GSF.
- b. Rehabilitation cost is based on architect's line item cost estimate of scope of work to be performed during school facilities project. Costs were reviewed and approved by the Department.
- c. PEC for new construction is calculated based on maximum allowable additional gross square footage based on Unhoused Students, or actual gross square footage, whichever is smaller.

#### IV. ACTIONS TO BE TAKEN:

**A. Introduction**: If a district constructs the school facilities project, the district will receive State support in the form State debt service aid (pursuant to N.J.S.A. 18A:7G-9). The district also has options on whether to accept or appeal the Department's determinations of space eligible for State support and PEC. Further, the district will need to take certain actions depending on whether the project is subject to educational adequacy requirements. Please note that the above review is limited to the spaces in the approved programmatic model for the above-referenced school facilities project.

Version: 031816 Page 4 of 8 A-1 PEC DS-ROD

**B.** District elected options: Thirty (30) days from the date of this PEC letter, the Department will issue a FEC letter with "debt service" as the State funding source. If the District does not agree with these elections, they must notify the Department <u>prior</u> to this action. If no letter is issued in that time period, the PEC becomes the FEC.

The following information is only for Districts Non- acceptance of PEC elections. The District has the option to defer the acceptance of the PEC **only on new construction** (related to \$143/sf). If the District chooses to defer a decision they must develop detailed plans and specifications by a design professional. At this time the District may appeal to the Commissioner of the Department for an increase in PEC (based on \$143/sf) in an amount not to exceed 10% of the PEC, if such plans indicate that the cost of constructing the approved school facilities project exceeds the PEC as determined by the Commissioner.

#### C. Educational adequacy and Uniform Construction Code review.

If the other capital project does not require final educational adequacy review, the district is not required to make a final educational adequacy submission to the Department or pay the Department final educational adequacy review fees. The district may advance the project, including review for Uniform Construction Code (UCC) compliance as required to the local municipal code enforcing agency. If the local code enforcement agency declines to perform the plan review or is not classified at the appropriate level for the project in question, then the project may go to an appropriately classified local code enforcement agency or to the Department of Community Affairs. Because of added security requirements, plans and specifications for the construction of new schools will continue to be reviewed by the Department of Community Affairs.

If the school facilities project requires final educational adequacy approval, the district needs to apply to the Department for such approval or a portion of such approval and submit the total review fees in the amount as determined under N.J.A.C. 6A:26-5.5, as per the FEC, to the Department prior to proceeding with review of its construction documents for UCC conformance.

Note: The district must demonstrate to the Department that extraordinary circumstances require an award of the entire approval project scope in separate phases.

**D. Executive Order 215.** School facilities projects meeting certain State assistance percentage (at least 20% of total project costs) and cost thresholds (total construction costs in excess of \$1 million) and not otherwise exempted (exemptions include rehabilitation and new construction not increasing the building's capacity by more than 25 percent) are required to comply with E.O. 215, including the preparation and submission of an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement as applicable, prior to site preparation and/or construction activity.

Version: 031816 Page 5 of 8 A-1 PEC DS-ROD

**E. Final eligible costs and local support**. A district may not seek approval of the local support (e.g., school bonds, lease purchase, etc.) for the school facilities project until the Department has notified the district of the FEC for the project. Also, no school facilities project may be constructed unless, if there is a local support amount, such local support has received approval as set forth in N.J.A.C. 6A:26-3.7. However, a district may seek approval of the estimated local share in its annual budget prior to a determination of FEC, pursuant to N.J.A.C. 6A:26-3.6.

Please contact me with any questions you may have regarding this matter at (609) 376-3701 or by email to bernie.piaia@doe.nj.gov.

Sincerely,

Bernard E. Piaia, Jr., Director Office of School Facilities

BEP:clb

## **Approved e-Plans Under Separate Cover**

Bernard E. Piais Jr.

c: Angelica Allen-McMillan, Acting Commissioner Cathy Bravo, TES, Office of School Facilities Judith DeStefano-Anen, Office of the Executive County Superintendent, Cape May County Kateryna Bechtel, Office of the Executive County Business Official, Cape May County Paige Sharp-Rumaker, District Business Administrator Robert N. Garrison, Jr, Garrison Architects, District Consultants

# DISTRICT MAY FILL OUT AND **EMAIL** BACK A COPY OF THIS FORM to your NJDOE facilities manager to assist the department to establish project's FEC:

# **ATTACHMENT "A"**

TO:	Bernard E. Piaia, Jr. Director or NJDOE-FACILITIES DISTRICT PROJECT MANAGER:
	Office of School Facilities Projects  NJ Department of Education  PO Box 500  Trenton, NJ 08625-0500
RE:	ESTABLISHMENT OF FEC FOR DEBT SERVICE AID REQUEST
	RESPONDING TO LETTER "PRELIMINARY ELIGIBLE COST: DETERMINATION OF A SCHOOL FACILITIES PROJECT FOR A DISTRICT NOT REQUIRED TO USE THE AUTHORITY TO UNDERTAKE THE PROJECT, PURSUANT TO N.J.S.A. 18A:7G-5 AND DETERMINATION OF PRELIMINARY ELIGIBLE COSTS PURSUANT TO N.J.S.A. 18A:7G-7"
DIST	TRICT:
COU	UNTY:
PRO	JECT NUMBER:
Dear	Mr. Piaia:
refer Distr proje	uant to the New Jersey Department of Education ("Department") letter approving the above- enced school facilities project and the determining preliminary eligible costs ("PEC"), the rict elects the following options and determinations on the construction and funding of such ect. As requested, please find enclosed the board resolution signed and sealed authorizing District to the following:
effici facili	istrict decision on Department determination on spaces inconsistent with the facilities lency standards or the applicable programmatic model in the district's approved Long-range ties plan (check only one):  District accepted and agreed to locally fund any excess (space) costs  District revised its school facilities project and resubmitted it for Department review  District requested additional space eligible for State support  Not applicable
	e District accepted and agreed to locally fund any ineligible (excess space) costs as indicated is form, the Department will be better able to expedite the determination of final eligible

Version: 031816 Page 7 of 8 A-1 PEC DS-ROD

cost (FEC) for the school facilities project. If the District selected any of the other options, and

1080-040-22-1000 PEC DS Att A Rehab@Dennis Twp-Prim Sch.doc October 25, 2021

the Department accepted the revision or agreed to the request, this change was reflected in the school facilities project determination letter. If the Department did not accept the revision or agree to the request, the school facilities project determination does not reflect such change.

B. If District elects to construct its school facilities  Debt Service Aid		select State fundingGrant,	support:
C. District determination on FEC (see comments be Accepts PEC (will not appeal PEC)	,	_ Defers decision on	PEC,
D. District is proposing a (Mo/Day/Yr)		, 20	Referendum.
Should the District indicate its acceptance of the PE to expedite an FEC determination for the school factifit defers the decision on PEC, thereby retaining the District may within 30 days of the preparation of deprofessional appeal to the Commissioner of the Deplans indicate that the cost of constructing that port for State support exceeds the PEC as determined be case of a county special services school district or a other than acceptance of the PEC as FEC will require freeholders.	cilities phe right etailed partmen cion of the Coartmen county	to either accept or applans and specification to for an increase in the school facilities prommissioner by 10 % vocational school discovered.	understands that opeal PEC, the ns by a design cose costs if such oject approved or more. In the strict, a decision
The District further understands that it is not permit school bonds, capital reserve, lease purchase, etc.) and Department has notified the District of FEC for the may be constructed unless, if there is a local support approval as set forth in N.J.A.C. 6A:26-3.7.	for the s project	chool facilities project. Also, no school fac	ct until the ilities project
Superintendent Signature		Date	
Enclosures required (See section IV Action to be	e taken)	:	
[ ] Board resolution accepting PEC as FEC, or, [ ]	] Board	of Chosen Freeholde	rs resolution
Email pdf copy of this filled out form to:			
	,	NJDOE Facilities Di	istrict Manager

Version: 031816 Page 8 of 8 A-1 PEC DS-ROD